Research Article

A Net-Plankton Study in the Bosphorus Junction of the Sea of Marmara

Zabit UYSAL

Middle East Technical University, Institute of Marine Sciences | Erdemit, Içel-TURKEY

Received: 16 / 12 / 1994 Accepted: 8 / 6 / 1995

Abstract: A 16-month study of diatoms (>55 micrometers) and certain groups of zooplankton was carried out at 21 stations in the Bosphorus junction of the Sea Marmara on board the R/V "Billim". The maximum diatom bloom was observed in January 1986, followed by a less frequent secondary flowering in summer. Both the diatom and zooplankton maxima were observed at 10 m. The lowest levels were attained below the halocline at a depth of 30 m.

Remarkable variations, both in the species composition and abundance of diatoms, were observed with depth throughout the research. Both the species richness D and species diversity H' were found to be relatively high in March (2.30-3.06) and October 1986 (2.23-2.97) as well as the proportional representation J' (0.96-0.91), in the distribution of diatom species at different depths, higher degrees of similarity were achieved in October, the maximum being 0.8 (Jacc. coeff.) between the surface and 10 m. Among the physico-chemical factors affecting the distribution of zooplankton with depth were temperature, salinity, dissolved oxygen, phosphate and nitrate+nitrite, which showed significant correlations.

İstanbul Boğazı Marmara Denizi Çıkışında Bir Net-Plankton Çalışması

Özet: İstanbul Boğazı Marmara Denizi çıkışında RV Bilim araştırma gemisi seyirleri süresince 21 istasyonda 16 ay süre ile diatome (55 mikrometre üzeri) ve çeşitli zooplankton grupları üzerine çalışma yürütülmüştür. Maksimum diatome patlaması Ocak 1986'da gözlenmiş ve bunu daha düşük sıklıkla ikinci bir yaz patlaması izlemiştir. Her iki diatome ve zooplankton maksimaları 10 metrede gözlenmiştir. En düşük seviyeler haloklin tabakasının altında 30 metrede elde edilmiştir.

Araştırma süresince diatome tür kompozisyonunda ve sıklığında derinlikle belirgin farklılıklar gözlenmiştir. Her iki tür zenginliği (D) ve tür çeşitliliği (H') indeksi Mart (2.30-3.06) ve Ekim 1986'da (2.23-2.97) ve yanısıra oransal dağılım J' (0.96-0.91) açısından göreceli olarak yüksek bulunmuştur. Farklı derinlikler arasında diatom türlerinin paylaşımı açısından en yüksek benzerlikler Ekim ayında yüzey ve 10 metreler arasında 0.8 (Jacc. katsayısı) düzeyinde elde edilmiştir. Zooplanktonun derinlikle dağılımını etkileyen fiziko-kimyasal faktörlerden sıcaklık, tuzluluk, çözünmüş oksijen, fosfat ve nitrat-nitrit önemli korelasyonlar göstermiştir.

Introduction

The Turkish Straits constitute a transitional oceanographic system between the Aegean basin of the Eastern Mediterranean and the Black Sea and are subject to man-induced or natural environmental changes. In order to make a better evaluation of existing environmental changes and their impact on microbial life, data on the standing stock, community structure, specles composition and distribution of diatoms are of great importance.

There is little general background data on phytoplankton species lists for the Bosphorus region. Further studies are still required on this subject.

Materials and Methods

In order to obtain data on diatoms and environmental parameters, particularly on the relationships between the seasonal cycles of these parameters and succession in phytoplankton assemblage, a baseline study of diatom composition together with zooplankton abundance and their vertical distribution was conducted in the Bosphorus junction of the Sea of Marmara (Fig. 1).

Starting in September 1985, a series of bimonthly diatom samplings were performed at 21 stations in this region on board the R/V BILIM of the Institute of Marine Sciences of Middle East Technical University




Figure 1. Map showing the location of plankton sampling stations in the Bosphorus junction of the See of Marmara.

(IMS-METU). In order to collect a sufficient amount of planktonic organisms, 5 l of water samples were taken with the aid of Nansen bottles from the surface and from depths of 10 and 30 m at each station. The water samples were then filtered on board through a net with a mesh size of 55 microns and the residues were preserved using a 4 % seawater-formalin solution.

In the counting of the diatom cells, aliquots of 0.05 ml were examined over a Palmer-Maloney chamber under a microscope. Each time an attempt was made to determine 400 cells [1]. Microscope magnifications of *100 and *400 were used in the diatom cell counts and * 1000 was used for the identification of smaller cells.

The diatom community was characterized using both Margalef's index D. which is a measure of species-richness diversity [2]

$$D = (S-1) / In$$

where S = number of species and N = number of individuals

and the Shannon-Weaver diversity index H'.

$$H' = \int_{i=1}^{s} pi \log 2 pi$$

where pi is the proportion of the i'th species of the whole sample.

In measuring the proportional representation, the evenness function J was calculated [3], where

The similarity between diatom species lists from each sampling region was calculated using Jaccard's similarity coefficient for presence and absence [4].

Jaccard's similarity coefficient = a/a+b+c where,

a = sum of species occurring at both stations(a&b)

b = sum of species occurring at station a but not b

c = sum of species occurring at station b but not a.

All correlation coefficients were determined by station, using the Spearman rank-correlation analysis [5] with the significance levels at P.05. and P.01. Spearman's rank-correlation coefficient was computed for data arranged in a similar manner. There is no simple mathematical relation between the two coefficients. Spearman's coefficient rs can be computed directly from the differences between the ranks R1 ve R2 of paired variables 1 and 2 as follows:

$$r_s = 1 - [(6\sum (R1-R2)^2) / n (n^2 - 1)]$$

where n = size of sample.

Results and Discussion

Remarkable variations both in the species composition and abundance of diatoms were observed throughout the sampling period. The maximum diatom bloom was observed in January 1986 and a second but relatively weak flowering also occurred during the summer. With the extension of the sampling period to January 1987 a second but less abundant bloom than the first was observed in October 1986 (Fig. 2).

The maximum cell count was recorded as $1555*10^2$ cells/l at the 10 m depth in January 1986 (Table 1). Throughout the sampling period the 10 m depth was the most abundant layer in terms of diatom prevalence. The lowest level were attained in the May - July period of at the same depth. At the 30 m depth the maximum level was in October 1986 (395*10² cells/l) and fell to its minimum in July 1986.

It is a noteworthy phenomenon that within the period of September 1985 - January 1987 centric diatoms were found to predominate over tha pennates




Figure 2. Birmonthly changes in abundance of diatoms at different depths (: -Surface; o-10 m; Δ -30 m; \Box - Mean).

(except in January 1986 when the pennates formed a slightly more abundant group). This possibly emerges from the differences in the nutrient-uptake efficiency of these major groups. Since pennate diatoms tend to have lower ratios of surface to volume, they are better adapted to grow in high nutrient concentrations than centrics [6].

Relatively high levels of nutrients in the euphotic zone in January 1986 allowed pennates to predominate over centrics, but this was only the case for that winter period. Within the region surrounding the Bosphorus-Marmara junction, the marked reduction in phosphate from 0.46 to 0.22 μ g-at/l occurred during the January-March 1986 period and was associated with the concurrent diatom outburst. This is, in fact, difficult to follow precisely, but it is inevitable that such sudden growth in diatom numbers should result in a corresponding fall in phosphate [7].

The relative contribution of centric diatoms to diatoms in general reached its maximum (92 %) in September 1985 and decreased to its minimum (47 %) at

Table 1. Variations in the composition and mean abundance of diatoms with time and depth; in the Bosphorus junction of the Sea of Marmara.

Sampling time:	Sep.85	Nov.69	Jan,86	Jan.86	Jan.86	Mar.86	Маг.86	Mar.86	May.86	May.86	May.86
Dept (m):	Mixed	Mixed	Surf	10	30	Surf	10	30	Surf	10	30
CENTRIC DIATOMS											
Cerataulina bergonili	141			-	-		-	-	593	891	636
Chaetoceros affinis	178		*				-	100			-
C. decipiens			5414	11109	584	. 263	245		40	331	58
C. didymus		13		-	19			•			
C. diversus		14		•	141	75	-	10			
C. lauderi		1-1	8367	6279	487						
C. sp	14	77	984	-		263	1516	193	-	103	
Coscinodiscus centralis	164	1.00	-			-	-		-		_
C. excentricus		-		91		3984	5121	422		8	
C. granii	2	161		-	(2)	37	205	161	-		9
C. lineatus	÷	1.		•			205			-	
C. radiatus		-		-		-	123				
Ditylum orightwelllii		13		956	97	1766	2704	193	-		
Guinardia flaccida			20				205				
G. sp	re-			-	24	-		4	-		-
Lauderia borealis		-	-			-	164		178		
Leptocylindrus danicus	178		-	101-0		498	615	-	-		
L, sp		-			-		205		B.	-	
Rhizosolenia alata	110	77	,	483	-	151			-		
R. alata fo. gracillima	1779	26		-	24	120			59	-	
Rhizosolenia calcaravis		-		100	24	37	41	-	•	21	14
R. delicatula	-	-					81		119		
R. hebetata				1449	12						
R. setigera	178	320	33962	54579	3093	8758	12084	3692	79	83	
R. stolterfothii	14	-			1.0	-			141		
R. styliformia	178	-	-	-					(=)		
Schroederella delicatula		-		483							
Skeletonema costatum		-	-	181	1.5	-	369	270		E.	
Thalassiosica decipiens			-	3864	49	1916	1229	154	-	-	
1'. gravida			120		-	300	2457	193		21	
T. nordenskioldii			L.		-	639	943				
T. sp		13	4				-				
TOTAL (Centrics)	2793	539	48727	79212	3355	18677	28512	5271	1068	1429	708
PENNATE DIATOMS	74.0		STREET, STREET,	100 TO TO	1000000	100 State 101				IX 18000	10.5
Asterionella bleakuleyi	·	-	-	16	-	-			40	83	87

Table 1, continued

Nitzschia closterium	-	64		3864	-			-	59	21	
N. delicatissima	L	-				976	1557	230		-	
N. longissima		y.	1477	2898	49	602	1188	-	40	21	
N, pungens	1-1	218		-	-			-			
N. seriata	Z33	-	52173	69552	3823	4286	4793	576	435	476	160
Thalassionema nitzschloides		38	1	-		14	-			(=)	-
TOTAL (Pennates)	233	320	53650	76314	3872	5864	7538	806	574	601	Z47
GRAND TOTAL (C+P)	3026	859	102377	155526	8327	24541	36050	6077	1642	2030	955
Stimile 14 (7m (4 · i)											
Sampling time:	July. 86	Jul.86	Jul.86	Oct. 86	Oct.85	Oct.86	Jan. 87	Jan. 87			
Depth (m):	Surf	10	30	Surf	10	30	10	40			
CENTER DIATONE											
CENTRIC DIATOMS				395	316	246		191			
Becteriastrum hyalinum	•			2300	3706	2546	4676	5592			
Cerataulina bergonit	•			4600	10095	4600		3302			
Chaetocerus decipiens				200	10033	4000					
C. densus	•	-		7555	19872	17168	695	695			
C. didymus	-	-		22015	33120	7310	180	465			
C. rostratus	•		70	525	33120	7510	100	403			
C. sp	•	1.0	70	526	395	410	2				
C. excentricus	•				393	410	185				
C. sp		-	-								
Ditylum brightwellli	3	-	•	788	946	245					
Guinardia flaccida	•	-		66	160	80 246	=	-			
Hemiautus hauckii	•			197	1340						
H. sinensis	•		•	1000	236 2996	245 164	210	1165			
Leptocylindrus danicus				1050				0.000			
L. minimus	-		-	131	-		-	210			
R. alata fo. gracillima	33	481		200	315	80	400				
Rhizosolenia calcaravis	1755	1066	56	263	235	80	195	160			
R. fragillissima	364	413	•	723	235	165	-	-			
R. setigera	33	34	•	395	315	-	•	•			
R. stylicormis	33	-	-			-		•			
Skeletonema costatum	-	103		11435	9148	492		•			
Thalassiosira decipiens	-	•	-	130	1262	-	-	-			
T. nordenskioldii				460	158	-		181			
T. rotula	· ·	-	(-)	-	80			1.51			
T. sp	-			12/20/20/20/0	10070707070	165	100				
TOTAL (Centrics)	ZZ 18	2097	126	53954	84929	34242	6141	8287			
PENNATE DIATOMS											
Asterionella bleakeleyi	66			•	-						
Nitzschia closterium	33		2	2	-						
N. delicatissima	~	172		2694	2523	965	454	1865			
N. longisskna	-			130	710	82	233				
N. seriata		138		11630	Z9885	4190	420	930			
TOTAL (Pennates)	99	310		14454	33118	5257	1107	2795			
GRAND TOTAL (C+P)	2317	2407	126	68408	118047	39499	7248	11082			
CONTRACTOR (CTT)	6517	2001		J							

Note: Numbers represent cells/I. Counts reflect the means of total 21 stations.

the surface in January 1986. Almost 76 % of the diatom species were found to be centric forms in the region, which agrees well with the findings of Sorokin (8), who found 80% in the coastal waters of the Black Sea. During the July 1986 sampling period pennates almost totally dissappeared at the depth of 30 m.

Based on seasonal distribution patterns, the net flora may be grouped into four categories.

- 1. Constants, collected all, the year round. The two most important species were *Nitzschia seriata* Cleve and *Rhizosolenia setigera* Brightwell.
- 2. Vernal-serotinal species, present bimodally in spring and in late summer. The only species confined to

these particular seasons was Asterionella bleakeleyi W. Smith.

- 3. Serotinal species, collected in late summer. The two major species were *Rhizosolenia fragilissima* Bergon and R. styliformis Brightwell.
- 4. Hiernal species, dominant in winter. Among these R. setigera and Nitzschia seriata were found to be the most numerous and widely distributed species. In addition to these two Chaetocerus Lauderi (Ralfs) Lauder Thalassiosira decipiens (Grunow) Jorgensen, Nitzschia closterium (Ehrenberg) W.Smith and N. longissima (Breb.) Ralfs were the other species in decreasing importance.

In addition to the above classification, *Chaetoceros* affinis Lauder, *Coscinodiscus centralis* Ehrenberg and *Rhizosolenia* stolterfothii H. Peragallo can be counted among the Autumnal species.

On the basis of species structure in a community species richness D was found to be low at the surface (0.43), almost twice as high (0.84) at 10 m and the highest (1.11) at the 30 m depth (Table 2a) in January 1986. Conversely, species richness was found to be highest (1.22) at the surface, high (1.05) at 10 m and lowest (0.58) at the 30 m depth in May and such a phenomenon could be adapted for the others, J' and H', as well when expressed in detail at certain depths. Diversity is considered to be low if only one or a few species are dominant, followed by rapidly decreasing numbers of others.

Both the species richness D and diversity H' were found to be relatively high in March (2.30-3.06) and October 1986 (2.23-2.97) (Table 2b), whereas the proportional representation J' was found to be relatively low (0.96-0.91). This phenomenon simply stems from the fact that the apportionment of total diatom cells among the total species is not quite uniform.

Besides the overall inspection of diatom species, individuals of different zooplankton groups formed by Copepods, Siphonophores, Chaetognaths, Potychaete larvae, Cladocerans and Appendicularians were enumerated at each depth and station. Fig. 3 shows the distribution of zooplankton at three depths. Higher numbers were recorded in September 1985, January 1986, and July 1986. The distribution of both zooplankton and diatoms showed similar trends and consequently a singificant positive correlation was obtained (Tablo 3).

The percentage distribution of zooplankton groups revealed that the predominance of Copepods persists throughout the year in the region. The ratio of Copepods to the remaining zooplankton groups reached its maximum in January 1986 (98 %) at a depth of 10 m and fell to its minimum (62 %) in July 1986 at the same depth (Table 4).

The highest recorded zooplankton level for the upper layer was 125400 indv. /m³ in September 1985, and the lowest was 3980 indv/m³ in May 1986. The maximum number of individuals at the 10 m depth was observed in January 1986 as 107180 indv/m³ and the minimum once again in May 1986 as 12190 indv./m³. Except for the relatively higher level obtained in May at the 30 m depth, a lower abundance of individuals was found below the halocline throughout the sampling period (Fig. 3). This was, indeed, expected in the lower

Table 2. Variations in the three species-diversity indices, namely Margalet's species-richness diversity (D), Pielou's evenness function (J) and the Shannon-Wiener diversity index (H') utilizing diatom-species lists obtained at each sampling depth (a) and for the whole water column (b).

(a) Time	Depth (m)	J	H'	D
Sep. 1985	0-30	0.94	2.17	1.12
Nov. 1985	0-30	1.11	2.56	1.33
Jan. 1986	Surface	0.95	1.70	0.43
Jan. 1986	10	0.85	2.04	0.84
Jan. 1986	30	0.77	1.85	1.11
Mar. 1986	Surface	1.02	2.84	1.48
Mar. 1986	10	1.05	3.19	1.91
Mar. 1986	30	0.93	2.15	1.03
May. 1986	Surface	1.13	2.61	1.22
May. 1986	10	1.02	2.24	1.05
May. 1986	30	0.91	1.47	0.58
Jul. 1986	Surface	0.63	1.22	0.77
Jul. 1986	10	1.14	2.21	0.77
Jul. 1986	30	1.43	0.99	0.21
Oct. 1986	Surface	0.96	3.00	1.98
Oct. 1986	10	0.93	2.87	1.80
Oct. 1986	30	0.87	2.57	1.70
Jan. 1987	10	0.88	1.94	0.90
Jan. 1987	40	1.06	2.21	0.75
(b)				
Time	Depth (m)	J	H.	D
Sep. 1985	0-30	0.94	2.17	1.12
Nov. 1985	0-30	1.11	2.56	1.33
Jan. 1986	0-30	0.70	1.95	1.32
Mar. 1986	0-30	0.96	3.06	2.30
May. 1986	0-30	0.99	2,45	1.50
Jul. 1986	0-30	0.84	2.00	1.35
Oct. 1986	0-30	0.91	2.97	2.23
Jan. 1987	10-40	0.95	2.19	0.99




Figure 3. Birmonthly variations in abundance of zooplankton at different depths (Φ -Surface: o-10 m; Δ - 30 m; \Box - Mean).

Table 3. Relationships between physico-chemical parameters, plankton (as abundance and plomass) and other parameters based on the Spearman Rank-Correlation analysis in the region

Variab!	es		
Y1	Y ₂	N	r values
Diatoms	Zooplankton	14	0.587*
Diatoms	Chlorophyll	18	0.253
Diatoms	Rain fall	6	0.429
Diatoms	o-PO ₄	15	-0.039
Diatoms	Dry-weight+	19	0.667**
Diatoms	Humic matter	19	-0.161
Diatoms	TSS	19	-0.016
Zooplankton	Chlorophyll	15	0.218
Zooplankton	o. PO	15	-0.336
Zooplankton	Rain fall	6	0.371
Zooplankton	Dry-weight+	14	0.591*
Zooplankton	Humic matter	17	-0.007
Zooplankton	TSS	17	-0.017
Chlorophyll	NO2+NO3	12	-0,357
Chlorophyll	o.PÓ ₄ ే	18	-0.655**
Chlorophyll	Dry-weight+	18	0.579*
Chlorophyll	TSS	21	0.137
Chlorophyll	Humic matter	20	0.408
Chlorophyll	Rain fall	5	-0.5
NO2+NO3	o. PO ₄	9	0.85**
NO2+NO3	Dry-weight	11	-0.246
NO2+NO3	Humic matter	11	-0.327
o.PO,	Dry-weight	15	-0.218
o.P0,	Humic matter	17	-0.564*
o. PO4	TSS	18	0.170
Dry-weight*	Rain fall	6	0.371
Dry-weight ⁺	Humic matter	19	0.026
Dry-weight ⁺	TSS	19	0.044
Rain fall	Humic matter	6	-0.543
Rain fall	o. PO ₄	5	0.8
Humic matter	TSS 4	23	0.034
TSS	Rain fall	6	-0.528

^{**} rs significant at P. 01.

Note: TSS is the abbreviation of Total Suspended Sediment. (+) Total plankton blomass as dry-weight. Correlation is based on year-round data collection in the Sea of Marmara.

layer which provides unfavorable conditions for planktonic organisms. The vertical migration of the organisms across the halocline is strongly inhibited. This is well reflected in the relatively low levels of the phytoplankton and consequently in the zooplankton abundancy at the 30 m depth.

As has been stated [9], zooplankton could have been higher when PO4-P was low because zooplankton phosphorus excretion (lipid reserves) was low when phytoplankters were abundant and vice versa. Although no statistical correlation was observed for zooplankton ver-

Table 4. Percentage composition of certain zooplankton groups at the surface, and at depths of 10m and 30m in the Bosphorus junction of the Sea of Marmara

Sampling time:	Sep. 85	Nov.85	Jan.86	Mar.86	May.86	Jul. 86
Surface						
Chaetognatha	-	0.22	0.06	0.00	0.24	1.76
Appendicularla		13.47	1.23	0.10	1.20	19.44
Cladocera	-	1.65	1.52	88.0	7.66	7.76
Polychaeta larvae		3.10	0.36	1.50	1.20	0.41
Copepoda		80.20	96.83	97.91	89.71	70.04
Siphonophora	•	1,34	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.59
10 meters	Sep. 83	Nov. 85	Jan. 86	Mar.86	May. 86	Jul. 86
Chaetognatha	2.51	0.32	0.06	0.04	0.08	1.52
Appendicularia	20.59	9.22	0.21	0.07	0.23	30.69
Cladocera	6.67	1.88	1.86	1.50	9.92	4.10
Polychaeta larvae	1.64	7.21	0.19	1.64	1.02	1.22
Copepoda	65.43	80.34	97.69	96.75	88.75	61.87
Siphonophora	3.16	1.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.63
30 meters	Sep. 85	Nov. 85	Jan, 86	Mar. 86	May.86	Jul.86
Chaetognatha	0.40	0.16	0.39	0.20	0.39	1.08
Appendicularia	14.38	1.91	1.83	2.11	3.79	23.83
Cladocera	1.03	0.00	0.58	0.40	0.88	0.72
Polychaeta larvae	1.70	19.87	12.04	14.00	9.92	17.37
Copepoda	80.69	77.90	85.16	83.28	85.02	56.89
Siphonophore	1.50	0.16	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12

Table 5. Relationships between zooplankton abundance versus nutrient levels (a) and physical parameters (b) with depth.

Nov.1985 Zooplankton o-PO ₄ 48 -0.509** Jan. 1986 " o-PO ₄ 48 -0.614** Mar. 1986 " o-PO ₄ 33 -0.048 May 1986 " NO ₃ +NO ₂ 54 0.174 Jul. 1986 " o-PO ₄ 48 -0.615** (b) Nov. 1985 Zooplankton Temperature 50 -0.921** Nov. 1985 " Salinity 50 -0.795** Nov. 1985 " DO 50 -0.214 Jan. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 " Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	Variables Time	Y	Yz	N	r _s values
Mar. 1986 " O-PO4 O-	Nov.1985	Zooplankton	o-PO	48	-0.509**
Mar. 1986 " O-PO ₄ 33 -0.048 May 1986 " NO ₃ +NO ₂ 54 0.174 Jul. 1986 " O-PO ₄ 48 -0.615** (b) Nov. 1985 Zooplankton Temperature 50 -0.921** Nov. 1985 " Salinity 50 -0.795** Nov. 1985 " DO 50 -0.214 Jan. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 " Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 " DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	Jan. 1986	u	a-P0,	48	-0.614**
May 1986 " NO3+NO2 54 0.174 Jul. 1986 " O-PO4 48 0.615** (b) Nov. 1985 Zooplankton Temperature 50 -0.921** Nov. 1985 " Salinity 50 -0.795** Nov. 1986 " DO 50 -0.214 Jan. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 " Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 " DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	Mar. 1986	н	o-PO.	33	-0.048
Jul. 1986 " o-PO ₄ 48 -0.615** (b) Nov. 1985 Zooplankton Temperature 50 -0.921** Nov. 1985 " Salinity 50 -0.795** Nov. 1985 " DO 50 -0.214 Jan. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 " Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 " DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	May 1986		NO_+NO_	54	0.174
Nov. 1985 Zooplankton Temperature 50 -0.921** Nov. 1985 " Salinity 50 -0.795** Nov. 1985 " DO 50 -0.214 Jan. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 " Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	Jul. 1986	6		48	-0.615**
Nov. 1985 " Salinity 50 -0.795** Nov. 1985 " DO 50 -0.214 Jan. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 " Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 " DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	(b)				
Nov. 1985 DO 50 -0.214 Jan. 1986 Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 Salinity 51 -0.004	Nov. 1985	Zooplankton	Temperature	50	-0.921**
Nov. 1985 Jan. 1986 Temperature 39 -0.525** Jan. 1986 Salinity 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 Temperature 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 Temperature 39 0.478** May. 1986 DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 Salinity 51 -0.004	Nov. 1985	н	Salinity	50	-0.795**
Jan. 1986	Nov. 1985	M S	DO	50	-0.214
Jan. 1986 " DO 39 -0.371* Jan. 1986 " DO 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 " Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 May. 1986 " DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	Jan. 1986	ш	Temperature	39	-0.525**
Jan. 1986 Jo 39 0.298 Mar. 1986 Temperature 42 -0.158 Mar. 1986 Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 Salinity 51 -0.004	Jan. 1986	н	Salinity	39	-0.371*
Mar. 1986 " Salinity 42 -0.199 Mar. 1986 " DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Salinity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Do 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	Jan. 1986		DO	39	0.298
Mar. 1986 " DO 42 0.149 May. 1986 " Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 " Sallnity 39 0.478** May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	Mar. 1986	**	Temperature	42	-0.158
May. 1986 "Temperature 39 -0.380* May. 1986 "Sallnity 39 0.478** May. 1986 "DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 "Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 "Salinity 51 -0.004	Mar. 1986	•	Salinity	42	-0.199
May. 1986 "Sallnity 39 0.478** May. 1986 "DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 "Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 "Salinity 51 -0.004	Mar. 1986		DO	42	0.149
May. 1986 " DO 39 0.092 Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	May. 1986		Temperature	39	-0.380*
Jul. 1986 " Temperature 51 0.537** Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004	May. 1986		Salinity	39	0.478**
Jul. 1986 " Salinity 51 -0.004				39	0.092
Jul. 1986 Sainty 51 -0.004	Jul. 1986	u	Temperature	51	0.537**
July. 1986 " DO 51 0.439**	Jul. 1986		Salinity	51	-0.004
	July. 1986	at .	DO	51	0.439**

^{**} r significant at P. 01.

^{*} rs significant at P. 05.

^{*} r significant at P. os.

sus $\rm NH_3$ -N by Walker and Steidinger [9], a significant negative correlation was attained in July 1986 for zooplankton versus $\rm NO_3+\ NO_2$ (Table 5a). Variations in the nutrient content of the upper layer in the region are expected to be greatly influenced by the nutrient levels in the Black Sea and by land-based sources,

In order to detect possible factors responsible for the vertical distribution of zooplanktonic organisms, a correlation analysis was performed utilizing temperature, salinity and dissolved-oxygen (DO) data obtained at each plankton-sampling depth. Significant inverse relationships were observed between zooplankton abundance versus temperature and salinity (Table 5b). In addition to these a significant positive relationship was also established between zooplankton abundance and DO in July 1986.

Light is also suggested to be one of the most important factors responsible for the vertical distribution in the Sea of Marmara. The compensation depth is estimated to be 26 m and it is concluded that halocline defines the lower limit of the euphotic zone in the Sea of Marmara. This is also well supported by the plankton dry-weight measurements (Fig. 4) at different depths above and below the halocline. As indicated above, much




Figure 4. Variations in the biomass (as dry weight) of total plankton with time and depth (●-Surface; o-10 m; Δ-30 m; □-Mean).

of the production takes place within the upper 10 m where the highest biomass measurements were taken. The higher levels observed in January 1986 and 1987 correspond well with the blooming times of planktonic organisms when both diatom and zooplankton abundancy showed significant correlations with dry-weight measurements.

Acknowledgement

I would like to express my deep gratitude to Prof. Dr. Mustafa ÜNSAL and Prof. Dr. Ferit BINGEL for their help and supervision throughout the completion of this study. I also extend my warmest thanks to the crew of RV Bilim for their generous help on board during the expeditions.

References

- Venrick, E.L., How many cells to count. In: Phytoplankton manuat., Ed. by A. Soumia, Unesco, U.K. 167-180 (1978).
- Margalef, R., Diversity. In: Phytoplankton manual., Ed. by A. Sournia. Unesco, U.K., 251-260 (1978).
- Nash, R.D.M., and Gibson, R.N., Seasonal fluctuation and compositions of two populations of small demersal fishes on the west coast of Scotland. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science. Academic Press Inc. Limited, London, 15: 485-495. (1982).
- Legendre, L., and Legendre, P., Associations. In: Phytoplankton manual., Ed. by A. Soumia. Unesco. U.K., 261-272, (1978).
- Snedecor, G.W., and Cochran, W.G., Statistical methods. The lowa State University Press. U.S.A. 593 p. (1976).
- Morris, I., The physiological ecology of phytoplankton. Biackwell Sci. Publ. Oxford, 625 p. (1980).
- Raymont, J.E.G., Plankton and productivity in the oceans. Pergamen Press Ltd., U.K., 660 p. (1963).
- Sorokin, Yu., I., The Black Sea, In: Ecosystems of the world estuaries and enclosed seas, Ed. by B.H. Ketchum. Elsevier, Amsterdam, 26. (1983).
- Waiker, L.M., and Steidinger, K.A., Plankton dynamics. In: Nearshore marine ecology at Hutchinson Island, Florida, Ed. by C.R. Futch. Florida Marine Research Publications, 1-15, (1979).