



# Editorial: Phytoplankton Dynamics Under Climate Change

Elif Eker-Develi<sup>1\*</sup>, Ahmet E. Kideys<sup>2</sup>, Alexander Mikaelyan<sup>3</sup>, Michelle Jillian Devlin<sup>4</sup> and Alice Newton<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Education, Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey, <sup>2</sup> Institute of Marine Sciences, Middle East Technical University, Mersin, Turkey, <sup>3</sup> Shirshov Institute of Oceanology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia, <sup>4</sup> Centre for Environment, Fisheries and Aquaculture Science (CEFAS), Lowestoft, United Kingdom, <sup>5</sup> Centre for Marine and Environmental Research, University of Algarve, Campus de Gambelas, Faro, Portugal

**Keywords:** climate change, phytoplankton dynamics, CO<sub>2</sub>, biodiversity, harmful algal blooms

## Editorial on the Research Topic

### Phytoplankton Dynamics Under Climate Change

Phytoplankton plays an important role in ocean processes, and is well-known to have an enormous positive impact on climate change or more specifically on global warming, by reducing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels through the sinking of produced organic and inorganic matter to the deep ocean (Falkowski, 2012; Beardall and Raven, 2013). However, climate change, with consequences of elevated seawater temperatures and decreased pH levels (Beardall and Raven, 2013), influences phytoplankton dynamics, changing phytoplankton composition, geography and biomass in the oceans (Falkowski and Oliver, 2007; Boyd et al., 2015; Jonkers et al., 2019). Temperature increases could also drive temporal shifts in the onset of the regular annual blooms, their composition, duration and amplitude as well as mismatches in timing between trophic levels (Hinder et al., 2012; Mikaelyan et al., 2015).

The overall impact of increased temperature on phytoplankton is not easy to assess due to variable and complex repercussions. For example, increasing temperatures can lead to more stratified waters, especially in summer months, and prevent nutrient replenishment at the ocean surface. The warming of surface waters can result in lower phytoplankton production, particularly concerning at low latitudes (Boyd et al., 2015; Basu and Mackey, 2018). Contrary, the increase in temperature may also lead to higher growth rates of phytoplankton with increased CO<sub>2</sub> uptake rates from the atmosphere at high latitudes (Beaugrand et al., 2012; Boyd et al., 2015; Krumhardt et al., 2017). Extreme weather is forecasted to increase under climate change scenarios, which will result in a higher frequency of high wind and rain events. This increase in strong winds and high rainfall can influence phytoplankton biomass positively due to increased mixing and nutrient loading in particular in coastal systems (Wetz and Paerl, 2008). Reduced frequency of cold winters and unusual types of phytoplankton succession have also been reported in some regions (Mikaelyan et al., 2018). Changing weather patterns, and nutrient imbalances are thought to be driving shifts in phytoplankton community such as a decrease in the ratio of dinoflagellate to diatom abundance at high latitudes (Hinder et al., 2012).

This Research Topic on “*Phytoplankton Dynamics Under Climate Change*” aims to cover recent investigations performed in the field and laboratory to elucidate long-term impacts of climate change/global warming on phytoplankton species composition and abundance.

In this Research Topic, a total of six papers were published, related to the impacts of climate change on phytoplankton dynamics. Two papers are focused on Antarctic waters, a region not only important for the global CO<sub>2</sub> ocean sequestration but also amongst the most impacted by the recent warming events (Bolinesi et al.; Ferreira et al.). The first paper reported high chlorophyll

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited and reviewed by:

Angel Borja,  
Technological Center Expert in Marine  
and Food Innovation (AZTI), Spain

### \*Correspondence:

Elif Eker-Develi  
elifeker@gmail.com

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to  
Marine Ecosystem Ecology,  
a section of the journal  
Frontiers in Marine Science

**Received:** 04 February 2022

**Accepted:** 15 February 2022

**Published:** 09 March 2022

### Citation:

Eker-Develi E, Kideys AE, Mikaelyan A,  
Devlin MJ and Newton A (2022)  
Editorial: Phytoplankton Dynamics  
Under Climate Change.  
Front. Mar. Sci. 9:869618.  
doi: 10.3389/fmars.2022.869618

*a* biomass in Terra Nova Bay and the south-central Ross Sea contrasting with the high nutrient low chlorophyll *a* nature of the off-shore waters during summer (Bolinesi et al.). These authors underlined importance of appropriate sampling scales in understanding alternative pathways for primary production for different sub-systems. The second paper, critically reviewing the studies performed in the northern Antarctic Peninsula reveals five main gaps to ascertain the impacts of climate change on phytoplankton (Ferreira et al.). They have also remarked the short- and long-term scenarios for phytoplankton communities notably the increase of small flagellates at the expense of diatoms and their shattering consequences for the ecosystem. The third paper is a long-term study performed in a subtropical region, the Tasman Sea coast of Australia, denoted decreased abundance of a cool-affinity diatom species, *Asterionellopsis glacialis* from 1931–1932 to 2009–2019 and increased abundance of a warm-affinity diatom species, *Leptocylindrus danicus*, simultaneous with an ~1.8°C increase in water temperature in the same period (Ajani et al.). The fourth paper is related to the impact of a once in 100-year flood on phytoplankton community in Moreton Bay, Australia (Clementson et al.). This unprecedented flood resulted in very low salinity values and very high turbidity of the seawater in the bay. In spite of reduced light intensity, phytoplankton responded rapidly (1–2 weeks) to the increased nutrients provided by the flood and micro-phytoplankton composed of mainly diatoms dominated in the region. However, in the subsequent weeks the influence of the flood disappeared and the community composition changed to nano- and pico-plankton in all areas of the Bay indicating fast but short-lived effects of the flood (Clementson et al.). The fifth paper deals with changes in dinoflagellate assemblages in the west Iberian upwelling region, Portugal during 1994–2001 (Danchenko et al.). Results of the investigation revealed that potentially harmful dinoflagellate species such as *Dinophysis acuminata*, *D. acuta*, *D. caudata*, *Prorocentrum* spp., and *Gonyaulax* spp. generally developed during the late stage of regional upwelling season in summer-autumn months, but also following relaxation of shorter

upwelling events outside of the main upwelling season, often in spring. At the same time, in the study, similar communities of harmful algal bloom species (*Dinophysis* spp., *Lingulodinium polyedra*) were favored by weaker upwelling during the main upwelling season and warmer water in late summer and autumn; it was reported that climatic trends toward weakening of summer upwelling may increase likelihood of their development (Danchenko et al.).

In the last paper, ecoevolutionary interactions between a coccolithophore (*Emiliania huxleyi*), and a diatom (*Chaetoceros affinis*) were investigated under increased CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations growing alone, or competing with one another in co-occurrence (Listmann et al.). Among nine initial genotypes from each of the species, one remained as a result of highly reproducible genotype sorting treatments. Community response to climate change was depicted by a shift in the dominance of *C. affinis* to *E. huxleyi* as a result of strong evolutionary selection (Listmann et al.).

These results manifest clear consequences of climate change on primary producers in the oceans and it is evident that marine ecosystems will go on to be exposed severe effects of global warming in the future. Finally, the Guest Editors would like to sincerely thank all the authors for their valuable contributions and all the reviewers for their efforts.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

EE-D: conceptualization, writing, original draft, and review. AK: writing, conceptualization, validation, and review. AM, MD, and AN: writing, review, and editing. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the Frontiers in Marine Science Submissions Team Manager Talitha Gray for her offer to be guest editor of this special topic. We also thank Dr. Angel Borja for his valuable comments.

## REFERENCES

- Basu, S., and Mackey, K. R. M. (2018). Phytoplankton as key mediators of the biological carbon pump: their responses to a changing climate. *Sustainability* 10, 869. doi: 10.3390/su10030869
- Beardall, J., and Raven, J. A. (2013). Calcification and ocean acidification: new insights from the coccolithophore *Emiliania huxleyi*. *New Phytol.* 199, 1–3. doi: 10.1111/nph.12297
- Beaugrand, G., McQuatters-Gollop, A., Edwards, M., and Goberville, E. (2012). Long-term responses of North Atlantic calcifying plankton to climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* 3, 263–267. doi: 10.1038/nclimate1753
- Boyd, P. W., Lennartz, S. T., Glover, D. M., and Doney, S. C. (2015). Biological ramifications of climate-change mediated oceanic multi-stressors. *Nat. Clim. Change* 5, 71–79. doi: 10.1038/nclimate2441
- Falkowski, P. (2012). The power of plankton. *Nature* 483, S17–S20. doi: 10.1038/483S17a
- Falkowski, P. G., and Oliver, M. J. (2007). Mix and match: how climate selects phytoplankton. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 5, 813–819. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro1751
- Hinder, S. L., Hays, G. C., Edwards, M., Roberts, E. C., Walne, A. W., and Gravenor, M. B. (2012). Changes in marine dinoflagellate and diatom abundance under climate change. *Nat. Clim. Change* 2, 271–275. doi: 10.1038/NCLIMATE1388
- Jonkers, L., Hillebrand, H., and Kucera, M. (2019). Global change drives modern plankton communities away from the pre-industrial state. *Nature* 570, 372–375. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1230-3
- Krumhardt, K. M., Lovenduski, N. S., Iglesias-Rodriguez, M. D., and Kleypas, J. A. (2017). Coccolithophore growth and calcification in a changing ocean. *Prog. Oceanogr.* 159, 276–295. doi: 10.1016/j.pocean.2017.10.007
- Mikaelyan, A. S., Kubryakov, A. A., Silkin, V. A., Pautova, L. A., and Chasovnikov, V. K. (2018). Regional climate and patterns of phytoplankton annual succession in the open waters of the Black Sea. *Deep Sea Res. I Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 142, 44–57. doi: 10.1016/j.dsr.2018.08.001
- Mikaelyan, A. S., Pautova, L. A., Chasovnikov, V. K., Mosharov, S. A., and Silkin, V. A. (2015). Alternation of diatoms and coccolithophores in the northeastern Black Sea: a response to nutrient changes. *Hydrobiologia* 755, 89–105. doi: 10.1007/s10750-015-2219-z
- Wetz, M. S., and Paerl, H. W. (2008). Estuarine phytoplankton responses to hurricanes and tropical storms with different characteristics (Trajectory,

Rainfall, Winds). *Estuaries Coast.* 31, 419–429. doi: 10.1007/s12237-008-9034-y

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

**Publisher's Note:** All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in

this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

*Copyright © 2022 Eker-Develi, Kideys, Mikaelyan, Devlin and Newton. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.*